Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,106	EISENHUTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1776
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Mancini.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 8-9		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Clarified that claims 1-7 are to be allowed with claims 8-11 canceled, see ex's amendment		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Thomas M. Lithgow/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1776	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(*	11 Tr	C